The paper is devoted to recognition of ethnical components and their importance in creation of archaeological picture of South-Western Serbia and Northern Montenegro in proto-history and Early Roman period. The chosen region was an integral part of the Illyrian world, but on the threshold of the Thracian at the end of later prehistory. As for the subsequent period of Roman domination, one of the most important tasks for the future exploration is at least partial enlightening the structure of the population the Romans found in this area at the turn of the Christ Era. Either the new era or the Christian era. Keywords: Iron Age, Roman period, Montenegro, Serbia, necropolises, Municipium S., Illyrians, Thracians.

If ethnical identity in the present is not easy to catch, then it should be expected that finding material record of it in the past is a tantalizing task. Ethnicity is widely seen as an intangible concept, multi-faceted and not firmly defined. It is expressed most often in the way individuals feel themselves connected — or the way others see them to be connected — to different social groups or to a specific social milieu. Such feelings and beliefs can reach almost mythological dimensions. Although ethnical components in identity may change along with social and historical circumstances, people (individuals and social groups) regularly use ethnicity to support and / or legitimize political entities or economic systems, in the past as well as in modern times (cf. Knapp 2014 with further references).

Already the first glance at most general maps with emphasized Thracian ethnic and cultural space reveals specific position of the focal zone of the present study (cf. Fol, Popov, Yordanov 2014, p. 10). During the I millennium BC and at the turn of the new era Southwest Serbia and Northern Montenegro (fig. 1) were an integral part of the Illyrian world, but on the threshold of the Thracian. The wider area shared between the modern states of Serbia and Montenegro bears traces of human presence, which go back to the Palaeolithic. In spite of the fact that in the 1960s, 1970s and 1980s and even later an intense archaeological research of the area was performed, resulting in discovery of a number of sites from the late prehistory and Roman period (Летица 1982; Јевтић 1996; Срејовић 2009; Цермановић-Кузмановић 2009), the state of exploration is still not satisfactory. One of the reasons for that lies in the environmental diversity. On the one hand the valleys of important rivers provided good connectivity. For example, if it is concerned that the Novi Pazar area is rich in smaller river flows belonging to the Raška and Ibar watershed, it is obvious how easily the influence from the south could reach inhabitants of these valleys. On the other hand the highlands today shared by Serbia and Montenegro and the wide Pešter plateau offer completely different life conditions. The Pešter plateau is sharply divided from the surrounding zones by both its height and its geomorphological characteristics. It is an area without forest, with average height 1100—1250 m above sea level, having characteristics of the karst and with very little water. It makes the shortest connection between the Raška and Ibar valleys, and in broad sense between the Morava valley and the river Drina, i. e. between the eastern and the western parts of the Central Balkans. There is shortage of fertile soil, and the climate is not convenient for planting every sort of cereals and fruits. Fortunately, pasture is very spacious and rich in grass for grazing. Inside the Pešter plateau, the best life conditions can be found at Peštersko polje and Koštam polje — two troughs surrounded
by hills and relatively rich in water — which are inhabited with high density even today, as it was the case in the past.

Archaeological picture of the studied area is dominated by a significant number of tumular necropolises. The greatest number of tumular burials belongs to the final horizon of the First Iron Age / Hallstatt period. It is under no doubt that in the Late Hallstatt the area was incorporated in the cultural complex Glasinac-Mati. In spite of the fact that ethnic attribution of the complex was Illyrian in wider sense, some of the graves have specific material which can be connected with the north-Thracian cultural circle.

Thanks to the priority the Glasinac plateau got with the early start of excavations of the tumuli and hillforts, already in the 2nd half of the 19th c., the complex of archaeological sites from the territory of the Autariatae was attributed to the bearers of the Glasinac culture. On the other hand, even a glimpse into the geographic map provides enough information to claim that the area of SW Serbia and N Montenegro is in the heart of the Glasinac cultural complex or the territory of the Autariatae. Consequently, archaeological sites from the region chronologically attributed to the Hallstatt period are memorabilia of the Autariatae (Benac 1987; Čović 1987; Лазић, Церовић 2008). Two areas of the region, the Novi Pazar area and the Pljevlja area, were chosen for the study due to their specific development inside the vast cultural complex. Each one of them revealed its own cultural development and final destiny.

Material culture of the Autariatae from Northern Montenegro is well known due to the archaeological excavations of tumuli. The region of the city of Pljevlja is of particular importance, since tumular necropolises were explored in Gotovuša, Ljutici near Mataruge, Lever Tara, Borovica and Otilovici (fig. 2). In most of the mounds, built of earth and stone, with outstanding complexity of funerary practices and several burial horizons, there were graves of inhumed deceased in supine position, with jewellery and elements of attire (most of them made of bronze, such as massive cast bracelets, decorated circular plates, arch fibulae and decorative pins), weapons and pottery. Ceramic repertoire is reduced to greyish beakers with one or two handles and incised geometric ornaments. In spite of the fact that in the Pljevlja area more than ten mounds with significant number of graves from the Hallstatt period have been explored, the archaeological material from the graves indicated mid- 5th c. BC as the latest date. In contrast to it, in the Glasinac area and the Drina valley there were graves from later periods, which testified about prolonged and continual existence of the Autariatae, unlike in the region of Pljevlja (Лазић, Церовић 2008).

The greatest number of tumular burials in the Novi Pazar region belongs to the final horizon of the Hallstatt period. Apart from the Novi Pazar princely grave, the remains of graves belonging to this horizon were found at more than ten sites (villages Crnoča, Glogovik, Delimeđe, Gračane, Melaje, Raspoganče, Doljani, and Novopazar ska Banja), most of them being found under tumuli at the Pešter plateau. The picture of funerary practice during the Hallstatt period in the Novi Pazar area reveals neither chronological nor ethnical unity. Two groups of graves can be sorted out: an older one, with skeletal burials in supine position, within massive, rectangular or oval stone grave constructions, like the mound I
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**Fig. 1. Map of Bijelo Polje, Kosovska Mitrovica**
from the site Latinsko Groblje in Glogovik (fig. 3), with grave inventory resembling the one from the mentioned region of Pljevlja and a younger one, in which cremations predominate, with human remains scattered across foundations made of pebbles and broken stones. According to grave goods (more elaborated and refined bronze jewellery and ceramic vessels, sometimes imported or made under the influence of the material from north Greece or south Macedonia, or even from the Thracian world as it is the case with the Latinsko Groblje fibula), these younger bi-ritual burials are much stronger connected to Kosovo and southern parts of the Balkan peninsula, in contrast to the older ones, which are incorporated in the wider complex Glasinac-Mati (Jevtić, Ljuština 2008). The mentioned find of a small arc fibula (Jevtić 1990, p. 116, kat. 150) (length 2.4 cm) of Thracian type from tumulus II at Latinsko Groblje (fig. 4) is from the same period or slightly later time in comparison to the black-brown varnished bowls of Greek production, which came mostly from cremated burials at several sites at the Pešter plateau. The fibula is dated at the end of the 4th or 3rd century BC (Vasić 2000, p. 15—19).

Thorough analysis of funerary practice of the older period revealed that a number of Basarabi elements were recognised in the material from the mound with incinerations in Melaje. At the base of the mound there was a large circular area, lined with a row of broken stones, with traces of intense fire. Pottery sherds were spread all over the earthen covering of the mound. Except the numerous fragments decorated with the false cord technique (fig. 5), there were some fragments of vessels made on potter’s wheel, of Greek provenance, and also some hand-made pottery under the Greek influence. Only on the basis of the ceramic material, two burial horizons could have been divided: a horizon with the false cord pottery of Basarabi style and a horizon with Greek imports. Fragments of vessels decorated in Basarabi style form a separate group of pottery. Parts of vessels decorated with rows of false cord came from the base of the mound. It is worth mention-
Fig. 5. Melaje, Livada kod džamije, Serbia: Basarabi pottery (Jesnina 1992)

ling that no trace of pottery with the «S» stamps decoration was found there, which is in contrast with the Basarabi pottery from the hilltops in the Novi Pazar area (Jesnina 1992, p. 8; Jevtić, Ljuština 2008, p. 43). There is a tempting idea, according to which a woman originating from the Triballi tribe (or generally from North-Thracian ethnical space) was cremated and buried among some local inhabitants of North-Dardanian origin. Ethnical attribution of the deceased is confirmed by both the sherds of the Basarabi bowls found at the mound base, and the small ceramic cobbled tool, used for the pottery decoration. Such tools have been found mainly in the western part of the vast Basarabi complex, where the tremollo pottery was most common, and to which the early Triballi can be cautiously connected (Jesnina 1992, p. 15; Jevtić, Ljuština 2008, p. 45).

What strikes us as odd is that the latest date of the prehistoric finds from the region can be the 3rd century BC. The following centuries BC left almost no trace in archaeological sense. If this observation about chronology of archaeological finds from the Hallstatt period in the Pljevlja region and other parts of the territory of the Autariatae is connected with historical sources, it can lead to interesting and tempting hypotheses. M. Lazić and M. Cerović (Jažari, Peponić 2008) emphasized the often quoted information on movements of the Autariatae towards the east and their conflict with the Triballi. The migration of the Autariatae is not chronologically positioned with precision, although some historians, F. Papazoglou above all, consider it to have taken place after 335 BC. It was the most convenient moment to defeat the Triballi and expel them from their homeland, after the defeat by Alexander III of Macedon. However, the archaeological material from the Pljevlja region suggests different solutions. Absence of finds later than the 5th c. BC in the area indicates an assumption that the Autariatae exactly in that period left it for good.

The absence of material from the Late Iron Age in this territory makes it difficult to deal with ethnical and cultural continuity and the question about who had lived there several centuries prior to the Roman conquest. Missing link could be sought in the inhumation burials under tumuli from Krajičinović (2nd / 1st century BC) (Zotović 1985; 2007; Gabrovec, Čović 1987, p. 907; Jovanović 1987a, p. 828, 848; Jovanović 1987b, p. 813), although the finding conditions and the find itself are questionable.

The Krajičinović - Slana voda necropolis was partly damaged by building a local road. The necropolis was in circular form, with the first level made of small stones on which 25 partially burnt male and female skeletons were placed, without recognised individual grave pits, with the legs to the centre and the heads to the edge of the necropolis. The tumulus was covered with stones, bigger in the central position and smaller to the edge of it. Inside the tumulus there were ceramic and bronze vessels, jewellery and weapons. Among the pottery, the material of the First Iron Age, Celtic and Hellenistic provenance or influence was recognised. The bronze vessels also reveal Hellenistic influence, as well as the jewellery made of silver. Some of the swords (the total of about 69 iron swords) were recognized as Celtic. Fibulae made of bronze of the Middle and Late La Tène scheme and glass beads should be mentioned at this point, too. The closest analogies for such funerary practices can be found in two necropolises in the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina — Mahrević near Čajniče and Vir near Posušje (Zotović 2007, p. 199). No matter how uncertain the mentioned finds are, the recognition of material from three ethnical and cultural zones — local Illyrian-Autariataean, Celtic and Hellenistic — in the 2nd and 1st centuries BC should be emphasized.

The first archaeological evidence of the inhabitants of this region after Autariatae dates from the 1st century AD. During systematic researches in Komini near Pljevlja (ancient Municipium S.) carried out from 1964 to 1976, almost seven hundred graves were discovered, mostly cremated deceased buried from the 1st to 4th century (cf. Cermanović-Kuzmanović 1967; 1980a; 1980b; Ђермановић-Кузмановић 1998; 2000). According to the research, there were two ancient necropolises. The older one, necropolis I, situated in the area of Bijelo brdo, and the later one, well-known in scientific literature as necropolis II, placed at the foot of the eponymous hill. The necropolis I, with about 400 graves of cremated burials of native non-Romanized population, dated to the 1st and the beginning of the 2nd century AD, contained a few grave forms, which could be divided into two groups: graves with recipient and graves without receptacle for ashes of the dead. To the first group belong graves with oval/circular pit with remains from the pyre as well as rectangular pits with burned sides. The other group is represented by circular grave pits with ceramic urn (mostly handmade), which is often covered by a stone slab, or placed in the ring of stones i.e. surrounded by
In one section of the necropolis, the graves with stone caskets for the ashes of the dead, concentrated without particular order (Цермановић-Кузмановић 1998; Цермановић-Кузмановић 2009).

Graves from the older necropolis in Komini contain simple grave goods, mostly composed of ceramic vessels (hand-made or wheel-thrown) and metal objects (bronze fibulae of the Aucissa type, rare bronze coins, rings and earrings), while the graves with long knives and spears with their tip dug into the earth are especially interesting (fig. 7). This custom is also familiar to the Iapodes. Some links and analogies with the Iapodean pottery are notable as well (Cermanović-Kuzmanović 1980a, p. 227—232; Цермановић-Кузмановић 1998; Цермановић-Кузмановић 2009; Ружић 2009). Aleksandrina Cermanović-Kuzmanović also denoted significant similarity with the material from the Cetina river (the Delmate region) (Цермановић-Кузмановић 1998; 2009).

As we have already seen, according to the several investigated tumuli, cremation was not known in the earlier sepulchral tradition, i.e. the native population used only inhumation under the mounds. With the beginning of new millennium, with the Roman occupation or even earlier, the burial rite changed (Јовановић 1984, p. 66). Since there is no evidence that the native population had cremation in their own sepulchral tradition and according to the grave forms and material from them, which cannot be ascribed to the Romanized population, it is believed that the new grave forms were probably adopted from the neighbouring regions, where cremation was dominant sepulchral form in the pre-Roman period. This custom is characteristic from the middle of the I millennium BC in the wider Illyrian region, from the Iapodes on the north-west to the southern Serbia and Kosovo on the south-east. It is suggested that this form could have been adopted from the north-west, from the territory of the Illyrian/Pannonian tribe Daesidiates, or from the east, or the Dardanians, Illyrian-Thracian tribe as suggested by A. Jovanović (cf. Cermanović-Kuzmanović 1982, p. 227—232; Јовановић 1984, p. 66). So far, several assumptions have been made in relation to this issue. Grave forms and grave goods (especially pottery finds) are indicative of relations with Iapodean, Dalmatian, Desidiatean and Dardanian cultural circle (Cermanović-Kuzmanović 1980a; Јовановић 1984; Цермановић-Кузмановић 1998; 2009). According to the opinion of A. Jovanović, grave pits without recipient for the ashes of the dead with the remains of the pyre or the pits with burned sides are older and belong to the native population. Having developed Evans’ (Evans 1885, p. 38) idea, Jovanović stated about the Pirustae as autochthonous population, or the population which settled in today region of north Albania and north-eastern Montenegro (Јовановић 2011; 2012; the same problem was also dealt by J. Wilkes (Wilkes 1969) and A. Cermanović.
man period, but also should be very careful with conclusions about indigenous population. All of the suggestions should be taken with caution, because the results of the excavations in Komini have not been completely published. They are the subject of the study which is in preparation.

On the other hand, epigraphic material from the younger necropolis in Komini, dated to the second half of the 2nd century to the middle or the second half of the 4th century AD, are well known and published (Mirković 2013). A completely different picture of necropolis II indicates that the population inside this necropolis was mostly Romanised. Besides simple grave pits with container for ashes of the dead (urn, stone ossuary), there were several monumental tombs of the so-called Aquileian type, built by notable citizens of Municipium S (fig. 8). The wealthy part of the population was buried in grave pits with stone, often decorated ash chest (ossuary), which mostly contained rich and various materials imported from the farthest provinces of the Empire. Notable population of this Roman town also included veterans and soldiers from the legions and auxiliaries. On the necropolis II there are also excavated several graves of the cremated deceased with weapons, or the equipment for the members of the auxiliaries ( Cvijetić 2017).

According to the onomastics, notable citizens of Municipium S. were mostly of Illyrian origin. However, we could consider presence of varied (Celtic, Thracian) ethnical entities, too (Cermanović-Kuzmanović 1967; 1969; 1980b; Joanomih 1984; Mirković 2013). The presence of epigraphic confirmation of Thracian personal names during the 2nd and 3rd centuries AD refers to the mines in the area of present day Northern Montenegro and Southwest Serbia. Several names from Pljevlja and Prijepeolje region, such as Teres, Bessus and Bessio could be of Thracian origin (Alföldy 1965, p. 15, 98; Mirković 2013, p. 45, 48, 101). However, it is interesting to note that the name Bessus is present in Dalmatia, Gallia, Noricum, Dacia and Moesia, etc. but not on the territory of Thrace (Mirković 2013, p. 45). The name Teres is recorded as part of the nomen formula of vir perfectissimus (governor) of Roman province of Thrace from 305 to 311 AD (Sharankov 2016, p. 105, 106). But, on the other hand R. Katić suggested even in the late 60s of last century that Teres was from Terenti, and Bessus were Illyrian names (Katić 1963, p. 264, 277; also see Loma 2003—2014, p. 40). M. Mirković suggests that name Bessus could be of Thracian but also of Celtic origin, according to the significant number of recorded epigraphic testimonies from Gallic territories (Mirković 2013, p. 46; Lörincz 2005, p. 289).

It should be emphasized that these few names should not be necessarily connected with the native population. It should be kept in mind that certain individuals or families could have been moved from the other parts of the Balkans to this territory during the Roman period (Mirković 2013, p. 44—50). The names-ethnics are common in the epigraphic material from this region. From that point of view especially are interesting the names Bessus and Bessio which could be ethnic, or the names derive from name of the Thracian tribe Bessi. If we read carefully historical sources, we will find a significant note from Appian (III. 1, 16). As he testifies, the Romans took a war against the Bessi, somewhere in the territory of Illyricum during O. Au-
gustus (35—33 BC) (Mirković 2013, p. 45). Part of this Thracian tribe was moved to Dalmatia, by the order of M. Antonius. They could have been partly moved into this metalliferous part of the province for exploitation of silver (Alfoldy 1965, p. 67—69; Јовановић 2012, p. 156). Also, according to the opinion of G. Alföldy, two more names from the territory of ancient Municipium S. could be of the Thracian origin. The name Plores is noticed in region of Pljevlja and Prijeponj, and the other one is known from Komini and Lever Tara. According to the opinion M. Mirković, Plares (also Plarens) belongs to the Illyrian names (Mirković 2013, p. 100). The name of Aurelius Argyrianus is mentioned with the function of imperial procurator on the inscription from the Mithras temple in Lever Tara. According to G. Alföldy, name Argyrianus / Argurianus could be Thracian or Celtic origin (Alfoldy 1964, p. 124). On the other side S. Loma suggested Illyrian origin (Loma 2003—2004, p. 48) and M. Mirković reminds us that the reading of the name on a monument from Lever Tara is uncertain (Mirković 2013, p. 114, 99, note 114). The official, by all accounts, carried out the administration of the mines in this area during the seventies of the 3rd century (fig. 9) (Loma 1999, p. 193—202; Loma 2003, p. 12, 21; on the organization of mining in the province of Dalmatia: Dušan Đ. 1980; on the administrative status of Eastern Dalmatia and eastern Dalmatian mines: Loma 1999; Loma 2003). A couple of inscriptions from Čadine near Prijeponj and Bijelo Polje indicate exploitation of mineral resources in northern Montenegro and south-western Serbia (CIL III, 12715; Mirković 1975, p. 105, 106; ILJug 137, no. 1817; ILJug 1685; Loma 2003, p. 12, ref. 5; Cvjetić 2014).

Finally, a very attractive assumption is made by A. Jovanović. According to him, ancient Thermidava, in Ptolemy listed in the towns of the province of Dalmatia (Ptol. II, 16 (17)), should probably be sought somewhere in the territory of northern Montenegro or northwest Albania. In his opinion, the etymology of the place name — Thermidava — a compound of the prefix therme — spa and the suffix dava — Thracian name for the settlement, suggests a settlement developed near hot springs, and which has a Thracian component (Jovanović 2012, p. 155, 156). The connection between hot springs and mines is clear, but unfortunately from the archaeological point of view this territory is still terra incognita.

In the end, we can only conclude that one of the most challenging but also the most difficult questions for the archaeologists and one of the most important tasks for the future exploration is at least partial enlightening the structure of the population the Romans found in this area at the turn of the new era.
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